



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,898	10/03/2006	Paul Franciscus Marie Verstraeten	T-155	7284
34539	7590	05/05/2009		
MARK ZOVKO 36504 28TH AVE S. FEDERAL WAY, WA 98003			EXAMINER KASTLER, SCOTT R	
			ART UNIT 1793	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/05/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/567,898

**Applicant(s)**VERSTRAETEN, PAUL  
FRANCISCUS MARIE**Examiner**

Scott Kastler

**Art Unit**

1793

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott Kastler. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Zovko. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-5.

Identification of prior art discussed: All applied.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's expressed that neither of Woodings or Korwech could be operated at periods of a range less than 1 sec or so. However the examiner explained that, no periodicity is recited in the claims or specification as written and absent such a definition of a period of operation for the term "pulsating manner" this limitation is met by each of the above references.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Scott Kastler/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793